

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: **Bradford et al.**

Serial No.: **Not Assigned**

Filed: **March 12, 2004**

For: **Path Balancing Apparatus and
Method**

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Group Art Unit: **2154**

Examiner: **Vu, Viet Duy**

Attorney Docket No.: **99-093-MIX**

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.97

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicants request that the information listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 be considered by the Office during the pendency of the above entitled application, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97.


Please charge any fees necessary for prosecution of the present application to Deposit Account No. 19-4545. If any extension of time is required, such extension is hereby requested. Please charge any additional required fee for extension of time to Deposit Account No. 19-4545. A duplicate copy of this document is enclosed.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(h), the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not constitute an admission that any information cited therein is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(b). In the interest of full and complete disclosure to the Office, some or all of the art cited herein may not be considered by Applicant(s) or the Undersigned to be material under the new standards of materiality defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(b), enacted March 16, 1992, but may be material under the old standard of materiality defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a), last amended on November 28, 1988, or may merely be technical background which may be of interest to the Examiner. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g), the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to mean that a search has been made.

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b) within three months of the filing date of the application, or before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits. No fee or certification is required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 12, 2004



Duke W. Yee

Registration No. 34,285

Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, L.L.P.

P.O. Box 802334

Dallas, Texas 75380

(972) 367-2001

Form PTO-1449 LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 99-063-MIX		SERIAL NO. Not Assigned	
			APPLICANT Bradford et al.			
			FILING DATE March 12, 2004		GROUP ART UNIT 2154	
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	PUBLICATION DATE	INVENTOR NAME	CLASS/ SUBCLASS	FILING DATE	
	AA 5,799,173	Aug. 25, 1998	Gossler et al.	395/500	May 21, 1997	
	AB 4,403,286	Sep. 6, 1983	Fry et al.	364/200	Mar. 6, 1981	
	AC 6,317,808 B1	Nov. 13, 2001	Berenshteyn	711/112	Mar. 26, 1999	
	AD 6,393,458 B1	May 21, 2002	Gigliotti et al.	709/203	Apr. 9, 1999	
	AE 6,434,637 B1	Aug. 13, 2002	D'Errico	710/38	Dec. 31, 1998	
	AF 6,167,427	Dec. 26, 2000	Rabinovich et al.	709/201	Nov. 28, 1997	
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS						
EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NO.	PUBLICATION DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS/ SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO	
	AG EP0892531	Jan. 20, 1999	Europe	H04L 29/06		X
OTHER PRIOR ART (including author, title, date, pertinent page, etc.)						
	AH	Colajanni et al., "Analysis of Task Assignment Policies in Scalable Distributed Web-Server Systems", IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol. 9, No. 6, June 1998, pp. 585-599.				
	AI	IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, August 1981, Vol. 23, No. 3, "I/O Load Balancing Using Device Connection Timings", p. 1411.				
RELATED PATENT APPLICATIONS						
EXAMINER INITIAL		APPLICATION NO./ ATTY. DOCKET NO.	APPLICANT	TITLE		FILING DATE
DATE CONSIDERED			EXAMINER			
EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609; draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.						